Vertigo and dizziness are among the most frequent complaints in medical practice. These are symptoms that become more common with age, and therefore indicate a higher prevalence in a not too distant future. The history and the neuro-otological examination of these patients are essential in identifying any underlying disease. Except for some otolaryngologists, the vast majority of professionals interested in investigating and treating vestibular symptoms, including vestibular rehabilitation therapists and general neurologists, is not armed with the necessary tools for the investigation of the vestibular system. Hence the importance of simple and effective bedside tests like the head impulse test (HIT) — which does not depend on instruments — in evaluating patients with vertigo, dizziness, and imbalance complaints. The authors highlight the importance of routine tests of vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) through the HIT, as well as in the emergency care department.

**VESTIBULO-OCULAR REFLEX**

The VOR is a phylogenetic old and simple reflex that involves only three neuronal pathways. The first goes from the peripheral vestibular organs to the vestibular nuclei — lateral, medial, superior, and inferior — besides a number of other small ones with little known functions. The second goes from there to the oculomotor nuclei, and the third pathway reaches the extra-ocular muscles. The dynamic properties of the VOR mature in the first two months of human life; the amount of time required for visual information to become available and begin providing the necessary signals to stimulate the neuronal network for the reflex. The VOR operates by generating eye movements at the same speed but in opposite direction to the head movement. Its function is to stabilize the image on the fovea during head movement, thus allowing the person to see a sharp and clean image even during movement. With a latency period of only 8 to 12 ms, this reflex is considered the fastest in humans.

The gain of the VOR is defined as “output” divided by “input.” In order to keep the fovea fixed on the target, the “output” (VOR) has to be equal to the “input” (drive head) and the gain of VOR very close or equal to one. If the vestibular system functions normally, when applying a high frequency stimulation (~122°/second) as a jerk to the head, the gain of the VOR is very close to 1 (0.94±0.08 standard deviation). In case of a very fast pulse (>10 Hz) the VOR is employed rather...
than the optokinetic system, resulting in a compensatory ocular deviation of the same magnitude and opposite direction in relation to the movement of the head. This keeps the eyes on target while the head rotates around the eyes.

**HEAD IMPULSE TEST**

Described by Halmagyi and Curthoys, in 1988, the HIT lends itself to detect unilateral or bilateral vestibular hypofunction through the VOR response, and is very easy to be applied. The examiner sits in front of the patient and asks the same to keep his eyes on the examiner's nose. The examiner holds the patient's head by the temporal-zygomatic region with both hands, inclining it forward at 30° in order to maintain the plane of the horizontal canal parallel the ground, and quickly turns the patient's head in the horizontal plane (±2,000°/sec²) alternating directions at random, and observes the patient's ability to keep the eyes fixed on target. The rotation does not need to be large (quite to the contrary — only 5 to 10°), but must have a high acceleration rate and unpredictable direction and timing. Normal response means the eyes remain fixed on the target. The response is abnormal when a corrective eye saccade makes up the end of the head movement in order to re-target (Figure). The rotation of the head one side at a time stimulates the horizontal semicircular canal to the rotation side provoking the VOR and the eyes move to the opposite direction. The HIT may be carried out in other planes as well.

If a bilateral vestibular dysfunction exists, the saccade of re-fixation will occur with the head being quickly turned to both sides. In the original study conducted with 12 patients who underwent complete vestibular nerve unilateral section, Halmagyi and Curthoys demonstrated 100% sensitivity and specificity using the HIT on the injured side. In incomplete unilateral hypofunction, the most frequent situation in daily practice, the HIT sensitivity varies from 34 to 100% and the specificity from 81 to 100%.

Schubert et al. found 71% sensitivity of the HIT in 79 patients with varying degrees of unilateral vestibular lesion and sensitivity of 84% in 32 patients with bilateral damage. The test's sensitivity increases when we look at three important details: 1) Tilting the patient's head 30° forward; 2) moving it at unpredictable direction and time intervals; and 3) performing the maneuver more than once. The HIT, when performed through active movement, has a great chance of generating false negative result.

Comparatively, the caloric response is analogous to a rotational stimulus of 0.003Hz (i.e. one cycle per 5.5 minutes), while the HIT represents a motion of high frequency characteristic to the movements that occur in normal daily life. It should be remembered that the peripheral vestibular disorders affect an early and more severe spectrum of low-frequency vestibular functions. This phenomenon greatly favors the caloric response, being more sensitive than the HIT in case of vestibular peripheral hypofunction. Because of this, in cases of moderated vestibular paresis (50–75% of weakness) evaluated by the caloric test, the vast majority of patients (90%) present negative HIT. In this regard, the partial results of an ongoing study in which several clinical bedside tests were applied to 13 migraine patients and 6 control patients (21 to 60 years old), Maranhão et al. found positive HIT in 26% of patients and in none of the controls, while 46% of migraine patients and 50% of controls showed asymmetric nystagmus (≥25%) in the minimal ice test, described by Leigh and Zee.

**HEAD IMPULSE TEST IN MEDICAL EMERGENCY**

In the emergency environment, the physical examination, diagnostic reasoning, and decision-making investigation (or therapeutic) requires accuracy, speed, and agility to distinguish the infarction of the posterior fossa of a more benign condition such as acute peripheral vestibulopathy (APV), which is not always easy. The computerized tomography is often not immediately revealing, specially in the
case of ischemia in the posterior fossa, and magnetic resonance imaging is not always available. Thus, once again, taking a smart, refined, and fast bedside examination can be a great tool to reach the correct diagnosis. It is worth mentioning that about 25% of APV who seek emergency care presenting nausea, vomiting, dizziness, nystagmus, and imbalance are actually posterior circulating infarcts.

In order to verify the accuracy of the HIT in the differential diagnosis of APV versus vascular cerebellar (or brainstem) accident (VCA), Newman-Toker et al. investigated 43 patients. Eight out of eight with APV showed positive (100%) HIT, while for 31 of 34 (91%) VCA patients the test was negative. However, the HIT is not 100% reliable in detection of APV since some patients with stroke involving the lateral pontine root entry zone or cerebellar infarction may present a positive HIT. Moreover, 16% of cases of stroke in the lower portion of the cerebellum showed initial clinical presentation of pseudo-labyrinthine with unidirectional nystagmus (peripheral pattern) and positive response to HIT. The authors highlight that a negative HIT was more important than a positive.

Kattah et al., aiming to clinically differentiate between APV and stroke, extended the above-mentioned work by evaluating 101 patients with APV (nausea/vomiting, nystagmus, dizziness, intolerance to head movements, unsteady of gait). They concluded that the set of three signals obtained by bedside examination; the head impulse test, nystagmus that change direction according to the glance, and the skew deviation test (which show vertical strabismus) (HINTS), are able to differentiate a stroke in the brainstem/cerebellum from APV. It is noteworthy that the bedside eye movement examination may provide the only way to differentiate between the APV and VCA. Vertical strabismus predicts stroke involving the brainstem even in the presence of a false positive HIT (pseudo-labyrinthine). The negative HIT associated with a nystagmus that changes direction and skew deviation (vertical strabismus) were more sensitive in the diagnosis of stroke than early diffusion magnetic resonance imaging!

In conclusion, the HIT is a simple, practical, inexpensive, and indispensable method for evaluating unilateral or bilateral VOR dysfunctions with 100% specificity in cases of complete vestibular nerve lesion and which should always be used when differentiating between APV and VCA in the emergency room.
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